

Prohibition of Attacks on Nuclear Facilities, With Emphasis on the US Attack on Iranian Nuclear Facilities (Original Research)

Valiollah Nasiri *
Saleh Rezaei Pishrobat**
Hojjat Salimi Turkmani ***

Persian Text pp. 45-72

(DOI) : 10.22066/cilamag.2026.2067043.2760

Date Received: 5 Oct.2025

Date Accepted: 31 Jan 2026

Abstract

Given that preventing nuclear facilities from becoming battlefields during armed conflicts is of critical importance in international law, the United States military attack on Iran's nuclear facilities would raise serious concerns regarding a clear violation of established international norms. This research, using a descriptive-analytical method and library resources, seeks to answer this fundamental question: what is the legality of the United States military attack on Iran's nuclear facilities within the framework of international law and whether the preemptive or preventive use of force can be considered as legitimate legal defense within the framework of customary and contractual international law? The results of the research show that in the absence of clear evidence of an imminent attack or authorization by the UN Security Council, such an attack would be considered an illegal act of aggression. In addition, the US action is a clear example of unilateralism and a clear violation of the guarantee of implementation of the violation of safeguards provisions based on the collective security system. A system in which the Board of Governors is responsible for determining violations and violations of safeguards obligations, and the Security Council is responsible for determining the measures to be taken and how to apply penalties for violations of nuclear obligations.

* Ph.D. Student in International Law, Islamic Azad University, Maragheh Branch, Maragheh, Iran. valinasiri58@yahoo.com

** Corresponding Author, Associate prof., Nuclear Science and Technology Research Institute, Tehran, Iran. srezaei@aeoi.org.ir

*** Associate prof., Azerbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran. salimi@azaruniv.ac.ir



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>); which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

In the age of nuclear technology, no concern is more important than nuclear security,¹ and no action is more provocative or dangerous than the deliberate targeting of nuclear facilities. The foundation of nuclear security lies in effective physical protection of nuclear facilities, advanced oversight of nuclear activities, and international cooperation in response.² The international community has developed a body of rules for nuclear security and physical protection of nuclear facilities that are intended to protect nuclear infrastructure from military attack.³ Despite the emergence of an international nuclear security regime, the history of nuclear energy tells a different story. From the Israeli destruction of Iraq's Osirak reactor in 1981 to the recent US attacks on Iran's Fordow,⁴ Natanz and Isfahan facilities in 2025, all demonstrate that great powers have tended to resort to unilateralism rather than international cooperation in response to non-compliance with nuclear commitments,⁵ and have challenged the existing international order by circumventing international norms in the face of perceived existential threats. This historical record of attacks paints a concerning picture of a dangerous precedent being set and the gradual normalization of the use of force.⁶ This is despite the fact that many legal scholars believe that the US attack is not only a violation of the principles and rules related to the security of nuclear facilities, but also a clear violation of the country's right to the peaceful development and use of nuclear knowledge under international law, including Article IV of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT). Legal scholars who support the right to nuclear energy argue that access to reliable and sustainable energy sources is necessary and

1. Mariia Kurando, "Nuclear Security in Conflict Zones: The Dangerous Case of Zaporizhzhia," *International Journal of Nuclear Security* 8, 2 (2023): 1-14. V. Lamm, "Protection Of Iivilian Nuclear Installations in Time of Armed Conflicts," *OECD Nuclear Law Bulletin* 74, 1 (2004): 29-38.

2. Tamar Malz Ginsburg, *Too Early to Eulogize The Nuclear Nonproliferation Regime*. The Nuclear Nonproliferation Regime at a Crossroads, Emily B. Landau and Azriel Bermant, Editors, *The National Security Studies* (Washington, D.C: INSS Press, 2014), 85-97.

3. Vladyslav Teremetskyi, Yevheniia Duliba, Alla Kiryk, Anna Sukharieva, Pavlo Gorinov, Viktor Vasylenko, "Ensuring Nuclear Safety in Ukraine in Conditions of War," *Archives des Sciences A multidisciplinary journal* 74, 1 (2024): 45-50

4. Anthony Damato, "Israeli Air Strike Upon The Iraqi Nuclear Reactor." *American Journal of International Law* 77, no.3 (1983): 1-20.

5. Anu Bradford & Eric A. Posner, "Universal Exceptionalism in International Law," *Harvard International Law Journal* 52, 1 (2011): 1-54. Jed Rubenfeld, "Commentary Unilateralism and Constitutionalism," *New York University Law Review* 79, 6 (2004): 1971-2028. A Von Bogdandy & Dellavalle, Sergio Dellavalle, *Universalism and Particularism as Paradigms of International Law*, New York, U.S.A: IILJ Working Paper, History and Theory of International Law Series), *International Law and Justice Working Papers*, (Max-Planck-Institute Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law and New York University School of Law, 2008), 36-37.

6. Matthew C. Waxman, "The Use of Force Against States that Might Have Weapons of Mass Destruction," *Michigan Journal of International Law* 31, 1 (2009): 34. Aust, H. P, Article 51, in Bruno Simma et al. (eds), *The Charter of the United Nations: A Commentary*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 4th edition, 2024.

essential for the full enjoyment of human rights, including economic and social rights and civil and political rights.⁷

Research Gap and Objective

As a starting point for the present analysis, jurists such as Professor Schachter,⁸ Professor Bout,⁹ Professor Murphy,¹⁰ Leo Gross¹¹ and Daniel Bethlehem¹² argue that the development of weapons of mass destruction (especially nuclear) technology that are immediately available and lack traditional warning signals of impending attack necessitates the use of force. However, this very argument for a broad interpretation of the use of force and the extension of the concept of self-defense in time create a dangerous precedent and circumvent international norms in the face of perceived existential threats.

Methodology

This research, using a descriptive-analytical method and using documentary sources, seeks to answer the fundamental question: What is the legality and consequences of the United States military attack on Iran's nuclear facilities under international law?

Key Findings

The US attack on the Fordow, Natanz and Isfahan facilities was cited as preventive measures against possible future threats. This is despite the fact that there is no evidence that Iran has or will have any imminent nuclear weapons attack on the US or Israel. On the other hand, The doctrine of preventive self-defense has been explicitly rejected by the vast majority of states;¹³ hence, it cannot be considered part of customary international law.

7. Daniel, Bodansky, *The Nuclear Proliferation Challenges*. (Cambridge University Press, 2007): 45. Dinah Shelton, *International Law and Human Rights*. (Oxford University Press, 2009): 201. Lars Lofquist, "Is there a universal human right to electricity?", *The International Journal of Human Rights* 24, 6 (2019): 711-723. Adrian J, Bradbrook & Judith G., Gardam, Cormier Monique, "A Human Dimension to the Energy Debate: Access to Modern Energy, *Energy & Natural Resources* 26, 4, (2008): 526-552. Yinka, Omorogbe, "Universal Access to Modern Energy Services: The Centrality of the Law", in Yinka Omorogbe and Ada Ordor, (eds) *Ending Africa's Energy Deficit and the LAW: Achieving Sustainable Energy for All in Africa* (Oxford University Press, 2018), 11.

8. Schachter Oscar, "Self-Defense and the Rule of Law," *American Journal of International Law* 83, 2(1989): 259-277.

9. Derek W. Bowett, *Self-Defense in International Law*, (New York: The Lawbook Exchange, Ltd. 2014), 294.

10. Sean D. Murphy, "The Doctrine of Preemptive Self-Defense," *Villanova Law Review* 50, 699 (2005): 1-36.

11. Leo Gross, "Self-Defense in International Law," *American Journal of International Law* 54, 1 (1960): 199-201.

12. Daniel Bethlehem, "Principles Relevant to the Scope of a State's Right of Self-Defense Against an Imminent or Actual Armed Attack by Nonstate Actors," *American Journal of International Law* 106, Issue 4 (2012): 770-777.

13. Daniel Bethlehem, "Self-Defense Against an Imminent or Actual Armed Attack by Nonstate Actors," *American Journal of International Law* 106, 4 (2012):770. Sean D. Murphy, "The Doctrine of Preemptive

Therefore, military attacks on Iranian nuclear facilities in the context of preventive or preemptive defense are completely illegal. In addition, the US attack on Iran's nuclear facilities is unjustifiable and illegal within the framework of international law on the use of force, international humanitarian law, international human rights law, international environmental law, international treaty law, customary international law, and the international nuclear security regime.

Contribution to the Field

This study contributes to the field by challenging the "preventive self-defense" argument in the specific context of hardened, underground nuclear facilities. The United States military attack on the nuclear facilities of the Islamic Republic of Iran without the authorization of the Security Council and in the absence of clear evidence of an imminent threat from the Islamic Republic of Iran is a clear example of the use of force and unlawful aggression. Unilateral military actions seriously undermine the international legal order and create a dangerous precedent.

Conclusion

Within the framework of international nuclear law, even if a country's nuclear program is contrary to safeguards obligations and therefore a threat to peace and security, no country has the right to establish and enforce guarantees for the implementation of violations of safeguards regulations without the permission of the Security Council and under the pretext of the doctrine of non-proliferation or the doctrine of preventive self-defense. Because the authority to determine a violation of nuclear obligations is the Agency and the authority to determine a threat to peace and security is the Security Council. Arbitrary interpretation of international rules, unilateral implementation of international law and military action against emerging threats weaken the principle of prohibition of the use of force, weaken the collective security system, weaken multilateral mechanisms and destabilize the international legal order.

Keywords

Nuclear Facilities, Armed Conflicts, Principle of Prohibition of the use of Force, Aggression, International Humanitarian Law, Self-Defense.

Self-Defense," *Villanova Law Review* 50, 699 (2005): 1-36. Agatha Verdebout, "The Contemporary Discourse on the Use of Force in the Nineteenth Century: A Diachronic and Critical Analysis," *Journal on the Use of Force and International Law* 1, 2 (2014): 223-246. Elizabeth Wilmshurst, "The Chatham House Principles of International Law on the Use of Force in Self-Defence," *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 55, 4 (2006): 963-972. James Fallows, "Will Iran Be Next?," Report of a Wargame Conducted, *Atlantic Monthly* 1, (2004): 99-110.

References**- Books**

1. Aminzadeh, Elham. *Energy Law*, Tehran: Dadgostar, 2013. [In Persian]
2. Aust, H. P. "Article 51." In *The Charter of the United Nations: A Commentary*, edited by Bruno Simma et al. 4th ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2024.
3. Bodansky, Daniel, *The Nuclear Proliferation Challenges*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
4. Bowett, Derek W. *Self-Defense in International Law*. New York: The Lawbook Exchange, Ltd. 2014.
5. Boyle, Alan, *Environmental Law and International Governance*. Hart Publishing: 2018.
6. Cassese, Antonio, *International Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.
7. Dinstein, Yoram, *War, Aggression and Self-Defence*. 6th ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017.
8. Dörr, Oliver, and Albrecht Randelzhofer. "Article 2(4)." In *The Charter of the United Nations: A Commentary*, 4th ed., edited by Bruno Simma et al. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2024.
9. Ginzburg, Tamar Malz, *Too Early to Eulogize The Nuclear Nonproliferation Regime. The Nuclear Nonproliferation Regime at a Crossroads*, Emily B. Landau and Azriel Bermant, Editors, The Institute for National Security Studies, Washington, D.C.: INSS Press, 2014.
10. Gray, Christine, *International Law and the Use of Force*, 4th ed., Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.
11. Greenwood, Christopher, *International Humanitarian Law and Nuclear Weapons*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
12. Henckaerts, Jean-Marie, and Louise Doswald-Beck, eds. *Customary International Humanitarian Law*. Vol. 1, Rules. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.
13. Huang, Xiaoning, *The Iranian Nuclear. Issue and Regional Security: Dilemmas, Responses and the Future*, Department of Political Affairs, Middle East and West Asia Division, Sabbatical Leave Report, Secretariat United Nations, 2016.
14. Kiss, Alexandre, *Droit International de l'Environnement*. Pedone, 2014.
15. Omorogbe, Yinka. "Universal Access to Modern Energy Services: The Centrality of the Law." In *Ending Africa's Energy Deficit and the Law: Achieving Sustainable Energy for All in Africa*, edited by Yinka Omorogbe and Ada Ordor. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.
16. Rogers, Paul, *Iran: Consequences of a War*, Oxford: Oxford Research Group, 2006.
17. Salimi Torkmani, Hojjat. *International Energy Law*, Tehran: Shahr Danesh, 2015. [In Persian]

18. Shelton, Dinah, *International Law and Human Rights*. Oxford University Press, 2009.
19. Sigal, Leon V. *Disarming Strangers*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1998.
20. Sturchler, Nikolas, *The Threat of Force in International Law*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
21. Timmerman, Kenneth R. *Countdown to Crisis: The Coming Nuclear Showdown with Iran*, New York: Crown Forum, 2005.
22. Vakil, Amir Saed. *Public International Law*. Vol. 1, Tehran: Majd, 2011. [In Persian]

- Articles

1. Ahmadifard, Morteza; Hatami, Mehdi and Farid, Azad Bakht, The Theory of Accumulation of Events in Recourse to International Self-Defense, *International Law Journal* 40, No. 70 (1402). DOI: 10.22066/CILAMAG.706167. [In Persian].
2. Albright David and Hinderstein Corey, "Iran, Player or Rogue?." *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 59, no.1 (2003).
3. Askari, Pouria, "Protection of the Environment in Armed Conflicts from the Perspective of the International Law Commission and the International Committee of the Red Cross", *International Law Journal, Publication of the Presidential Center for International Legal Affairs* 39, No. 67 (1401). Doi:10.22066/CILAMAG.2022.24966. [In Persian].
4. Bethlehem, Daniel, "Principles Relevant to the Scope of a State's Right of Self-Defense Against an Imminent or Actual Armed -Attack by Nonstate Actors." *American Journal of International Law* 106, no.4 (2012).
5. Bethlehem, Daniel, "Self-Defense Against an Imminent or Actual Armed Attack by Nonstate Actors." *American Journal of International Law* 106, no.4 (2012).
6. Bradbrook Adrian J, Gardam Judith G., Cormier Monique, "A Human Dimension to the Energy Debate: Access to Modern Energy." *Energy & Natural Resources* 26, no.4 (2008).
7. Bradford Anu & Posner Eric A., "Universal Exceptionalism in International Law," *Harvard International Law Journal* 52, no. 1 (2011).
8. Damato, Anthony, "Israeli Air Strike Upon the Iraqi Nuclear Reactor." *American Journal of International Law* 77, no.3 (1983).
9. Fallows, James. "Will Iran Be Next? ," *Atlantic Monthly* 1, no. 1 (2004).
10. Gross, Leo. "Self-Defense in International Law." *American Journal of International Law* 54, no.1 (1960).
11. Henckaerts Jean-Marie, "Study on Customary International Humanitarian Law: A Contribution to The Understanding and Respect for The Rule of Law in Armed Conflict." International Committee of the Red Cross, *International Review of the Red Cross - ICRC* 87, no. 857 (2005).

12. Iaria Adriano, "E-Emblems: Protective Emblems and the Legal Challenges of Cyber Warfare." *Istituto Affari internazionali, IAI Commentaries* 18, no. 42 (2018).
13. Ishfaq, Hedayatullah, "The Legitimacy of the Anywhere, Anytime, Managed Access Doctrine in International Nuclear Law". *International Law Journal, Publication of the Center for International Legal Affairs of the President of the Republic of Iran*, 62, No. 2 (2019). Doi:10.22066/CILAMAG.2019.92764.1572. [In Persian].
14. Izadi, Ali and Farshad Garavand, "The Effects of the Evil of War on the Strong Protection of Treaties". *International Law Journal*, 42, No. 27 (1389). Doi:10.22066/CILAMAG.2010.17278. <https://www.magiran.com/p2121144>. [In Persian].
15. Jones, P., "The Iranian Attack on Osirak: The First Strike Against a Nuclear Facility." *Middle East Policy* 22, no. 4 (2015).
16. Kesler, B. "The Vulnerability of Nuclear Facilities to Cyber Attack." *Strategic Insights* 10, no. 15 (2011).
17. Krebs, Claus. "Shakespeares 'Heinrich V' und das Recht des Krieges." *Juristen Zeitung* 69, no. 23 (2014).
18. Kurando Mariia. "Protecting Nuclear Facilities in Ukraine: Lessons from South Asia." *Evropsky politicky a pravni diskurz* 11, no. 2 (2024).
19. Kurando, Mariia. "Nuclear Security in Conflict Zones: The Dangerous Case of Zaporizhzhia." *International Journal of Nuclear Security* 8, no. 2 (2023).
20. Lamm, V. "Protection of Iivilian Nuclear Installations in Time of Armed Conflicts." *Nuclear Law Bulletin*, No 74, *OECD Nuclear Law Bulletin*, no. 74 (2004).
21. Lofquist, Lars, "Is There A Universal Human Right to Electricity?." *International Journal of Human Rights* 24, no. 6 (2019).
22. Mandel, Robert. "The Effectiveness of Gunboat Diplomacy." *International Studies Quarterly* 30, no.1 (1986).
23. Momtaz, Jamshid and Razzaghi Saket Mohammad, "The Difficulties of Implementing the Non-Proliferation Regime in the Middle East," *Foreign Policy Quarterly* 24, No. 2 (2010). [In Persian]
24. Murphy, Sean D., "The Doctrine of Preemptive Self-Defense." *Villanova Law Review* 50, no.699 (2005).
25. Owwoeye, Olasupo, "Access to Energy in sub-Saharan Africa: A Human Rights Approach to the Climate Change Benefits of Energy Access." *Env. L. Rev* 18, no.4 (2016).
26. Rezaei Pish Rabat, Saleh, "International Law and the Illegality of Attack or Threat of Armed Attack against Nuclear Facilities", *Strategic Studies Quarterly* 1, 62 (2013). Doi: 20.1001.1.17350727.1392.16.62.7.5. [In Persian].
27. Rezaei Pishrobat, Saleh, "A Reflection on the Development, Evolution,

- and Prospects of IAEA Safeguards; With Emphasis on the JCPOA,” *Strategic Studies Quarterly* 21, No. 81 (2018). Doi: 20.1001.1.17350727.1397.21.81.4.5. [In Persian].
28. Rubinfeld, Jed, "Commentary Unilateralism and Constitutionalism." *New York University Law Review* 79, no.6 (2004).
 29. Ruys, Tom. "From *passé Simplet*o *Futur Imparfait*? A Response to Verdebout." *Journal on the Use of Force and International Law* 2, no.1 (2015).
 30. Schachter Oscar. "Self-Defense and the Rule of Law." *American Journal of International Law* 83, no.2 (1989).
 31. Seyyed Hossein Sadat Meydani, "Disagreements between the International Atomic Energy Agency and Iran in the Nuclear Case from the Perspective of International Law”, *International Law Journal*, 54, 1 (2016): 256219-219256. Doi:10.22066/CILAMAG.2016.20726. [In Persian].
 32. Taghizadeh Ansari Mustafa and Beiranvand Farid, "Legal Significance of Applying Reservations to the Statutes of International Organizations,” *Free Legal Research* 7, No. 23 (2014). [In Persian]
 33. Teremetskiy, Vladyslav, Duliba, Yevheniia, Kiryk, Alla, Sukharieva, Anna, Gorinov, Pavlo, Vasylenko, Viktor, "Ensuring Nuclear Safety in Ukraine in Conditions of War." *Archives des Sciences A multidisciplinary journal* 74, no.1 (2024).
 34. Verdebout, Agatha. "The Contemporary Discourse on the Use of Force in the Nineteenth Century: A Diachronic and Critical Analysis." *Journal on the Use of Force and International Law* 1, no.2 (2014).
 35. Von Bernstorff, Jochen. "The Use of Force in International Law Before World War I: On Imperial Ordering and the Ontology of the Nation-State." *European Journal of International Law* 29, no.1(2018).
 36. Waxman, Matthew C. "The Use of Force Against States that Might Have Weapons of Mass Destruction." *Michigan Journal of International Law* 31, no.1 (2009).
 37. Wendland, Anna. "Zaporizhzhia as a Symbol of Russia Attacks Ukrainian Modernity." *International Journals Osteuropa* 72, no.8 (2022).
 38. Wilmshurst, Elizabeth. Elizabeth Wilmshurst, "The Chatham House Principles of International Law on the Use of Force in Self-Defence." *The International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 55, no.4 (2006).

- Instruments

1. African Nuclear-Weapon-Free Zone Treaty (Pelindaba Treaty) (adopted 11 April 1996, entered into force 15 July 2009).
2. Agreement between India and Pakistan on the Prohibition of Attacks against Nuclear Installations and Facilities (signed 31 December 1988, entered into force 31 December 1990).
3. Amendment to the Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear

Material (adopted 8 July 2005, entered into force 8 May 2016).

4. Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (adopted 26 October 1979, entered into force 8 February 1987).
5. International Convention for the Suppression of Acts of Nuclear Terrorism (adopted 13 April 2005, entered into force 7 July 2007).
6. Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (entered into force 5 March 1970).
7. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Statute of the International Atomic Energy Agency, 276 UNTS 3, 29 July 1957.
8. Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (adopted 17 July 1998, entered into force 1 July 2002).
9. Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Protocol I) (adopted 8 June 1977).
10. Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (Protocol II) (adopted 8 June 1977).

- Cases

1. *Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons, Advisory Opinion, I.C.J. Reports 1996*, p. 226.
2. *Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, Advisory Opinion, I.C.J. Reports 2004*, p.194.
3. *Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America), Merits, Judgment, I.C.J. Reports 1986*, p.100.
4. *Oil Platforms (Islamic Republic of Iran v. United States of America), Merits, Judgment, I.C.J. Reports 2003*, p.225.

- Documents

1. United Nations General Assembly, “Establishment of a Commission to Deal with the Problems Raised by the Discovery of Atomic Energy,” A/RES/1(I), 24 January 1946.
2. United Nations General Assembly, “Establishment of a Nuclear-Weapon-Free Zone in the Region of the Middle East,” A/RES/46/30, 20 December 1991.
3. United Nations Security Council, “Maintenance of peace and Security of Ukraine,” S/PV.9526, 10 January 2024.
4. United Nations Security Council, “Resolution 487 (1981),” S/RES/487, 19 June 1981.
5. Working Paper, Von Bogdandy, Armin, and Sergio Dellavalle. *Universalism and Particularism as Paradigms of International Law*. New York: IILJ, 2008.

6. Chair of the Coordinating Bureau of the Non-Aligned Movement, “Communiqué of The Non-Aligned Movement on the Recent Heinous Attack of Israel Against the Islamic Republic of Iran,” NAM Doc. 2019/CoB/Doc.1, 13 June 2025.
7. European Council, “Decision 2010/212/CFSP Relating to the position of the European Union for the 2010 Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons,” Official Journal of the European Union, L 90/8, 2010.
8. European Parliament, “Resolution on the Confrontation Between Iran and the International Community,” P6_TA(2006)0060, 16 February 2006.
9. Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), “Advancing nuclear non-proliferation and disarmament, and securing the entry into force of the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty: The role of parliaments,” Resolution adopted by the 120th IPU Assembly, 10 April 2009.
10. Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), “The announcement by the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea of its nuclear weapons test and the strengthening of the nuclear non-proliferation regime,” Resolution adopted by the 115th IPU Assembly, 18 October 2006.
11. International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), *Draft Rules for The Limitation of the Dangers Incurred by the Civilian Population in Time of War*, September 1956.
12. Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), *Uranium 2024: Resources, Production and Demand*.
13. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “Measures to Strengthen International Co-operation in Matters Relating to Nuclear Safety and Radiological Protection,” GC(XXXIV)/RES/533, 21 September 1990.
14. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “NPT Safeguards Agreement with The Islamic Republic Of Iran,” GOV/2025/36, 12 June 2025.
15. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “NPT Safeguards Agreement with The Islamic Republic of Iran,” GOV/2025/25, 2025.
16. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “Prohibition of All Armed Attacks Against Nuclear Installations Devoted to Peaceful Purposes Whether Under Construction or Operations,” GC(XXXII)/RES/484, 1988.
17. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “Prohibition of Armed Attack or Threat of Attack against Nuclear Installations, During Operation or Under Construction,” GC(53)/OR.11, 18 September 2009.
18. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “Prohibition of Nuclear Installations Devoted Peaceful Purposes against Armed Attacks,” GC(XXIX)/RES/444, 1985.
19. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “The Safety, Security and Safeguards Implications Of The Situation in Ukraine,” GOV/2022/58, 15 September 2022.

20. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “The Safety, Security and Safeguards Implications Of The Situation in Ukraine,” GOV/2022/71, 17 November 2022.
21. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), “The role and activities of the International Atomic Energy Agency,” GC(XXVIII)/RES/425, 28 September 1984.
22. International Law Association (ILA), “Resolution 5/2020 on Nuclear Weapons, Non-proliferation & Contemporary International Law,” adopted by the 79th Conference, 29 November–13 December 2020.